

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
TYLER DIVISION**

Christopher Smith,

Plaintiff,

v.

Receivables Performance Management, L.L.C.,

Defendant.

:
:
: Civil Action No.: 6:14-cv-663
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:

: **COMPLAINT**
: **JURY**
:
:
:
:
:

For this Complaint, Plaintiff, Christopher Smith, by undersigned counsel, states as follows:

JURISDICTION

1. This action arises out of Defendant's repeated violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, *et seq.* (the "FDCPA"), in its illegal efforts to collect a consumer debt.

2. Supplemental jurisdiction exists pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

3. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), in that Defendant transacts business in this District and a substantial portion of the acts giving rise to this action occurred in this District.

PARTIES

4. Plaintiff, Christopher Smith ("Plaintiff"), is an adult individual residing in Mineola, Texas, and is a "consumer" as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).

5. Defendant Receivables Performance Management, L.L.C. ("Receivables"), is a Washington business entity with an address of 20816 44th Avenue, West Lynnwood,

Washington 98036, operating as a collection agency, and is a “debt collector” as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

ALLEGATIONS APPLICABLE TO ALL COUNTS

A. The Debt

6. A financial obligation (the “Debt”) was allegedly incurred to an original creditor (the “Creditor”).

7. The Debt arose from services provided by the Creditor which were primarily for family, personal or household purposes, which meets the definition of a “debt” under 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).

8. The Debt was purchased, assigned or transferred to Receivables for collection, or Receivables was employed by the Creditor to collect the Debt.

9. Defendant attempted to collect the Debt and, as such, engaged in “communications” as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2).

B. Receivables Engages in Harassment and Abusive Tactics

10. In January 2014, Receivables began calling Plaintiff in an attempt to collect the Debt from Plaintiff.

11. Plaintiff advised Receivables that the Debt was owed by his ex-wife and that Plaintiff was not responsible for repaying it.

12. Plaintiff further advised Receivables that his ex-wife could not be reached at his telephone number, and directed Receivables to cease all calls to him regarding the Debt.

13. Nonetheless, Receivables continued to call Plaintiff up to six (6) times per day in an attempt to collect the Debt.

14. In addition, Receivables failed to send Plaintiff a letter regarding the Debt within five (5) days of its initial communication with Plaintiff.

C. Plaintiff Suffered Actual Damages

15. Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer actual damages as a result of Defendant's unlawful conduct.

16. As a direct consequence of Defendant's acts, practices and conduct, Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer from humiliation, anger, anxiety, emotional distress, fear, frustration and embarrassment.

COUNT I
VIOLATIONS OF THE FDCPA 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq.

17. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.

18. Defendant's conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692d in that Defendant engaged in behavior the natural consequence of which was to harass, oppress, or abuse Plaintiff in connection with collection of the Debt.

19. Defendant's conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692d(5) in that Defendant caused a phone to ring repeatedly and engaged Plaintiff in telephone conversations with the intent to annoy and harass Plaintiff.

20. Defendant's conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692f in that Defendant used unfair and unconscionable means to collect the Debt.

21. Defendant's conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a) in that Defendant failed to send Plaintiff a letter within five days of its initial contact with Plaintiff.

22. The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant constitute numerous and multiple violations of the FDCPA.

23. Plaintiff is entitled to damages as a result of Defendant's violations.

COUNT II
VIOLATIONS OF THE TEXAS DEBT COLLECTION ACT
TEX. FIN. CODE ANN. § 392, et al.

24. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.

25. Plaintiff is a “consumer” as defined by Tex. Fin. Code Ann. § 392.001(1).

26. Defendant is a “debt collector” and a “third party debt collector” as defined by Tex. Fin. Code Ann. § 392.001(6) and (7).

27. Defendant caused a telephone to ring repeatedly, with the intent to annoy or abuse Plaintiff, in violation of Tex. Fin. Code Ann. § 392.302(4).

28. Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief and actual damages pursuant to Tex. Fin. Code Ann. § 392.403(a)(1) and (2) and to remedies under Tex. Bus. & Comm. Code § 17.62 pursuant to Tex. Fin. Code Ann. § 392.404(a).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered against Defendant:

1. Actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1);
2. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A);
3. Costs of litigation and reasonable attorney’s fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(3);
4. Injunctive relief pursuant to Tex. Fin. Code Ann. § 392.403(a)(1);
5. Actual damages pursuant to Tex. Fin. Code Ann. § 392.403(a)(2);
6. Remedies under Tex. Bus. & Comm. Code § 17.62 pursuant to Tex. Fin. Code Ann. § 392.404(a);
7. Punitive damages; and
8. Such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED ON ALL COUNTS

Dated: July 31, 2014

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Jenny DeFrancisco

Jenny DeFrancisco, Esq.
CT Bar No.: 432383
LEMBERG LAW LLC
1100 Summer Street, 3rd Floor
Stamford, CT 06905
Telephone: (203) 653-2250
Facsimile: (203) 653-3424
E-mail: jdefrancisco@lemborglaw.com
Attorneys for Plaintiff